EXHIBIT 2

		Page 1
1	TESSITORE	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	
4	Case No. 3:17-cv-00101	
5		
6		
7	CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU,	
8	Plaintiff,	
9	VS.	
10	NAVIENT CORPORATION, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12	/	
13		
14		
15	CONFIDENTIAL	
16	PURSUANT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER	
17		
18	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF LISA TESSITORE	
19	Washington, D.C.	
20	Thursday, May 24, 2018	
21		
22		
23	Reported by:	
24	SUSAN ASHE, RMR, CSR, CRR	
25	Job No.: 142321	

	Page 2			Page	3
1	TESSITORE	1	TESSITORE	-	
2	Thursday, May 24, 2018	2	APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:		
3	9:15 a.m.	3	FOR PLAINTIFF:		
4	7.13 a.m.	4	CONSUMER FINANCIAL PR	ROTECTION BURE	ΑIJ
5		5	BY: ANDREA MATTHEWS,		110
6	Videotape deposition of LISA TESSITORE,	6	BY: DAVID DUDLEY, ESQ.	-	
7	taken on behalf of Defendants, at WILMERHALE, 1875	7	1700 G Street, NW		
8	Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C.,	8	Washington, DC 20552		
9	beginning at 9:15 a.m., on Thursday, May 24, 2018,	9	washington, DC 20002		
10	before Susan Ashe, RMR, CSR, CRR.	10			
11	before Susaii Asiie, RWR, CSR, CRR.	11			
12		12			
13		13			
14		14	FOR DEFENDANTS:		
15		15			
		16	WILMERHALE DV: DANIEL KEARNEY ES	· ·	
16		17	BY: DANIEL KEARNEY, ES	oų.	
17			BY: GARY DYAL, ESQ.	177	
18		18 19	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NV	W	
19		19 20	Washington, DC 20006		
20					
21		21			
22		22			
23		23			
24		24			
25		25			
	Page 4			Page	5
1	TESSITORE	1	TESSITORE		
2	ALSO PRESENT:	2	INDEX		
3	FOR THE WITNESS:	3	Deposition of LISA	ΓESSITORE	
4	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	4	May 24, 2018	LOSTICILE	
5	BY: JOSHUA KOLSKY, ESQ.	5	111dy 21, 2010		
)			
6		6	Examination By:	Раде	
6 7	555 4th Street, NW		Examination By: Mr. Kearney	Page 9 267	
-		6	Mr. Kearney	9, 267	
7	555 4th Street, NW	6 7			
7	555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530	6 7 8	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews	9, 267	
7 8 9	555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530	6 7 8 9	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS	9, 267 14	
7 8 9 10	555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530 - and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL	6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No.	9, 267 14 Marked	
7 8 9 10	555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530 - and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp	9, 267 14 Marked ondence	
7 8 9 10 11	555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530 - and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education	6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530 - and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence	42
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338	42
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867	42 72
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro Exhibit 318 E-Mail Corresp	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867 ondence	72
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro Exhibit 318 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01561968 thro	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867 ondence ough -970	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro Exhibit 318 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01561968 thro Exhibit 319 E-Mail Corresp	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867 ondence ough -970 ondence	72
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro Exhibit 318 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01561968 thro Exhibit 319 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01235100	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867 ondence ough -970 ondence	72
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro Exhibit 318 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01561968 thro Exhibit 319 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01235100 Exhibit 320 Evaluation Doc	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867 ondence ough -970 ondence 84 ument	72 80
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro Exhibit 318 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01561968 thro Exhibit 319 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01235100	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867 ondence ough -970 ondence 84 ument	72
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	- and - OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL BY: BRIAN SIEGEL, ESQ. U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Kearney Ms. Matthews DEFENDANTS Exhibit No. Exhibit 315 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00781753 and with Attachment Exhibit 316 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00627332 thro Exhibit 317 E-Mail Corresp NAV-00728862 thro Exhibit 318 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01561968 thro Exhibit 319 E-Mail Corresp NAV-01235100 Exhibit 320 Evaluation Doc	9, 267 14 Marked ondence -754 34 ondence ough -338 ondence ough -867 ondence ough -970 ondence 84 ument	72 80

-	Page 6		Page 7
1	TESSITORE	1	TESSITORE
2	DEFENDANTS	2	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS
3	Exhibit No. Marked	3	Exhibit No. Marked
4	Exhibit 321 E-Mail Correspondence	4	Exhibit 300 Subpoena 12
5	NAV-00689225, -227	5	Exhibit 306 E-Mail Correspondence
6	with Attachment 94	6	NAV-00686505, -507, -508, and
7	Exhibit 322 E-Mail Correspondence	7	NAV-00686237 28
8	NAV-00628986 through -994 112	8	
9	Exhibit 323 E-Mail Correspondence	9	
10	NAV-01561977 through -980 121	10	
11	Exhibit 324 Business Operations	11	
12	Change Request Form	12	
13	NAV-00016770 through -772	13	
14	with Attachment 132	14	
15	Exhibit 325 E-Mail Correspondence	15	
16	NAV-00744366 through -370 136	16	
17	Exhibit 326 Federal Student Aid Document	17	
18	ED000560 through -570 148	18	
19	Exhibit 327 Servicer Site Visit Review	19	
20	ED000541 through -551 225	20 21	
21	Exhibit 328 E-Mail Correspondence		
22	NAV-00823454 through -458 276	22 23	
23		23	
24		25	
25		23	
	Page 8		Page 9
1	TESSITORE	1	TESSITORE
2	WASHINGTON, D.C.;	2	for the Defendants.
3	THURSDAY, MAY 24, 2018, 9:15 A.M.	3 4	MR. KOLSKY: Josh Kolsky, from the
4	000	5	United States Attorney's Office, for the Department
5	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. This is	6	of Education.
6 7	the start of tape labeled No. 1 of the videotaped	7	MR. SIEGEL: Brian Siegel, of the
8	deposition of Lisa Tessitore in the matter of	8	Department of Education. MS_MATTHEWS: Andrea Matthews of
9	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau versus Navient Corporation, et al. in the United States District	9	MS. MATTHEWS: Andrea Matthews, of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Plaintiff.
10	Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, Case	10	MR. DUDLEY: David Dudley, Consumer
11	No. 3-cv-1700101.	11	Financial Protection Bureau.
12	This deposition is being held at	12	VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court
13	WilmerHale, 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest,	13	reporter please swear in the witness.
14	Washington, D.C. on May 24, 2018; and the time is	14	Whereupon,
15	9:15 a.m.	15	LISA TESSITORE,
16	My name is David Voigtsberger, from	16	the Witness, called for examination, having been
17	TSG Reporting, Incorporated; and I am the legal	17	first duly sworn according to law, was examined and
18	video specialist.	18	testified as follows:
19	The court reporter is Susan Ashe, in	19	EXAMINATION
20	association with TSG Reporting.	20	BY MR. KEARNEY:
21	Will counsel please introduce	21	Q. Good morning, Ms. Tessitore.
22	yourselves and whom you represent.	22	A. Good morning.
23	MR. KEARNEY: Dan Kearney, of	23	Q. Could you please state your full name for
24	WilmerHale, for the Defendants.	24	the record.
25	MR. DYAL: Gary Dyal, of WilmerHale,	25	A. Sure. Lisa Tessitore.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	I	

Page 106 Page 107 1 1 TESSITORE **TESSITORE** 2 2 And certainly, from the -- on behalf of understanding, working with policy that.... 3 3 the servicers, there's less risk involved. There's And the CR itself may take on iterations 4 4 less processing and less delays. as experts understand the regulations and how it is 5 5 It's still a complicated process to speak moved into procedural-type processes. 6 6 about over the phone. So it's a long process. 7 7 But the actual procedures of processing Q. And does the Department track the extent 8 8 to which borrowers are using various IDR programs? and doing the IDR is much better than it used to be 9 9 back in 2011, '12, '13, '14. 10 10 Q. And how does the Department communicate Q. And for how long has it tracked those 11 11 the changing expectations with respect to these new participation rates? 12 12 IDR programs to its servicers? A. Sure. So I talked about the NSLDS data --13 13 A. Through our change request program, CRs. database that we have. 14 14 So it goes through a very rigid process. It has a historical and a loan level for a 15 15 And in that process, for the most part, it is an borrower. And so it tracks where a borrower was and 16 all-servicer-type approach. 16 what type of repayment program. 17 17 So all of the servicers, with their But that's as best as the information 18 18 subject matter experts, are in attendance -coming in from each of the servicers that are doing 19 19 generally on the phone. You can show up in person the reporting, as well as our origination system 20 20 if you so choose. that holds -- and our Student Loan -- that the --21 21 But they have working sessions, knowledge the interface to the borrower is -- it holds all of 22 22 sessions, and then the actual delivery of the CR and that information. 23 23 the cost and all of those procedural-type things So it's only as good as what is received 24 24 that they have to go through. into it. But it is the database that holds that 25 25 But there is a lot of collaboration and information, so we track it. Page 108 Page 109 1 **TESSITORE TESSITORE** 2 Q. And how has the participation in IDR 2 A. Yes. 3 programs changed over time? 3 Q. Why were there fewer borrowers in IDR back 4 4 A. As the affordability and the opportunities in 2010, 2011? 5 5 for the programs have advanced, we have more A. Well, there was a lot less borrowers in 6 programs that allows different individuals to 6 the portfolio, to begin with. Right? 7 7 participate in the program who otherwise wouldn't in And as I said earlier, there wasn't enough 8 8 programs to be encompassing of the needs we were the early years. 9 9 trying to meet within the IDR programs at the time. So that alone has increased the volume. 10 10 And then I spoke a little bit earlier They were very limited, and the 11 about initiatives that -- in -- coming from the 11 requirements were limited to a select group of 12 12 White House, coming from the Department of Education people. 13 itself, or within FSA, where we're trying to promote 13 Now it's a larger group of people, and 14 14 the use of IDR. there's just more borrowers. 15 15 Q. Are there more borrowers in IDR today as a So there's been a lot of campaigns done 16 16 for these cohorts of borrowers, if you will, based percentage of total borrowers? 17 17 A. Yes. on various statuses. 18 18 And so there was a big push to get O. So what types of strategies has the 19 borrowers into these repayment programs in an effort 19 Department undertaken to -- let me back up, 20 20 to make it more affordable, reduce the delinquency actually. 21 21 rates, and so forth. Does the Department have an interest in 22 Q. Are there a lot more borrowers in IDR 22 promoting income-driven repayment? 23 23 today than there were, say --A. Let me say it this way: The Department 24 24 A. Yes. has an interest in promoting IDR when applicable. 25 Q. -- in 2010, 2011? 25 It's not always applicable. Right? So

Page 194 Page 195 1 TESSITORE 1 TESSITORE 2 2 right option. borrower. 3 3 O. Let me make sure I understand. If a borrower can make the repayment under a standard repayment plan, that is the best option 4 4 Is the thought that having that sort of 5 5 for our borrowers. It's the best for them and the rigid requirement would preclude the kind of 6 discussion that we were talking about that servicers 6 lifetime of their loan. They're done. They're out 7 should be having with the borrower? 7 in ten years. 8 8 A. My personal opinion is yes. If they can pay it off early, absolutely 9 9 FSA's perspective is it likely could be. better for the borrower -- but better for us if they 10 10 If you don't have that full conversation, can adhere to what was designed for the program at 11 11 vou didn't listen very well. the onset. Right? 12 12 You need to hear what the borrower has to So there's more interest. There's just a 13 13 say and know what the borrower's future looks like. longer burden in your discretionary income if you're 14 14 I mean, you -- and as -- from an FSA prolonging this payment for years and years and 15 employee -- remember, I told you I have two sides? 15 vears. 16 I have a taxpayer side, and I have the 16 And that's not what anybody ever was 17 responsibility of the borrower. 17 wanting for these borrowers coming out of school at 18 18 such a young age, is to have a debt burden that's It would be wrong of me to assume that 19 19 everybody should fit into a repayment plan of an hanging over their head for the next 20 years. 20 20 Q. If you had a borrower who couldn't make 21 21 I need to collect the funds to use those the regular payment --22 22 funds for other things as a taxpayer for the A. Sure. 23 23 Q. -- if you had a borrower who couldn't make government. 24 24 any payment, what kind of options might be So putting somebody in a forgiveness is 25 25 not always the best option. It's not always the appropriate for that borrower? Page 196 Page 197 1 1 TESSITORE **TESSITORE** 2 2 A. It depends. And so as long as the borrower understands 3 It depends on why that borrower can't make 3 that, given what their circumstances are, I think at 4 4 the end of the day the customer service rep has done that payment. 5 5 Is it because your car broke down this 6 Q. And are those kinds of questions that you month? Okay. Then perhaps we will be talking about 7 7 were just modeling for us -- are those the kinds of a forbearance. 8 8 Is it because you're serving in the questions that FSA is hoping or relying on servicers 9 9 military and you're going overseas? Okay. I'm to be asking? 10 1.0 A. Yes. going to talk about a military deferment with you. 11 11 Q. If we can keep going down the page --Is your inability to pay because your 12 12 sister's family is all of a sudden living with you A. Okav. 13 Q. -- to where it says "Recommendation" the 13 and there's ten extra family members coming in? 14 first time underneath Observation 1. 14 Those conversations are all different, and 15 15 A. Okav. each one of them will likely end up with a different 16 Q. Can you read that paragraph out loud for 16 result. 17 me, please. 17 So is it a short term, again, or is it a 1.8 18 A. Sure. long term? 19 (Reading:) FSA recommends that Navient 19 And knowing that borrower as best you can 20 ask questions so that the borrower is able to 20 will get you to the right answer, to the best of 21 determine which option -- parenthetical, like 21 your ability. 22 promise to pay, end parenthetical -- would be most 22 But you can't forgive -- catch up with me 23 beneficial to resolve the delinquency. If the 23 next month -- you know, that kind of thing. 24 borrower is willing and able to make a payment to 24 There's rules that go into place, and each 25 resolve the delinquency in the account and can 25 of those have consequences.

Page 198 Page 199 1 TESSITORE 1 TESSITORE 2 2 continue to make payments, FSA does not believe a There's no way around it, because you 3 3 borrower should use unnecessary forbearance time can't at a point in time put somebody into an IDR 4 that will result in interest capitalization. 4 program and still carry their delinquency with you. 5 5 Q. Is this recommendation -- could that You just -- you can't have a rolling 6 6 encompass the kind of borrower that you were just delinquency. You have to bring them current to do 7 7 talking about, the one who can make a payment -the next step. 8 8 A. Um-hum. So if they're 30 days delinquent, there's 9 9 Q. -- can make a standard payment? a 30-day forbearance. If they're 60 days or two 10 10 A. Um-hum. So this.... payments behind, they're 60 days of forbearance. 11 11 I might take a little issue with the That doesn't count in the 36 consecutive 12 12 recommendation, because what's throwing it off is months because it's trying to get them into another 13 the word "delinquency" in here. 13 repayment plan. 14 14 O. Okav. And as they're applying, even if they 15 A. Okay? 15 weren't delinquent -- if this is a borrower who says 16 So in instances to understand the 16 today, "I want to apply for an IDR," and they go 17 17 online or they do the paper process -- right? -- the complexity of the Student Loan program. 18 18 very first thing that you do to stop where they're So again, the use of forbearance in this 19 19 instance might -- you can't get around it. at, because they just told you I can't afford it, is 20 20 And what I'm saying is: So even if I want to put them in a stop of payment. That is a 21 21 to afford this same borrower, these 220 borrowers, forbearance. 22 22 the ability to go into an IDR repayment program, if And each time that you do that, 23 23 they are delinquent, if they don't pay up, get unfortunately, it is a capped interest event. And 24 24 yourself current, you're going to have a so we have that to deal with. 25 2.5 forbearance. But they are -- in order to get to your Page 200 Page 201 1 1 **TESSITORE TESSITORE** 2 2 end means, which is to reduce your payment, you have A. "How many payments are you behind?" is the 3 to go through that forbearance process. 3 answer 4 4 So kind of goes -- what do they call So if that outreach to that borrower is 5 5 that? -- hand in glove. ten days after their payment due date, they would 6 So at the rate that you're increasing your get one, because it's really -- even though we say a 7 IDR performance and your uptick in IDR, your 30-day forbearance, it's really covering one billing 8 forbearance uptick is going to go right along with 8 statement -- right? -- one billing cycle. 9 it, because there is no way to get somebody out of 9 But if that borrower is 270 days 10 10 that delinquent status to get to IDR without first delinquent, what does that equate to? You know, 11 11 passing through forbearance. something less than 12 months of -- you know, 10 12 12 Same thing with any IDR processing -months, 11 months. 13 13 whether it takes them a whole 60 days or not, So it depends on how severely delinquent 14 there's generally a forbearance that is going to be 14 they are. 15 15 placed on that IDR process. And of course, we encourage -- you saw 16 16 O. I want to make sure I understand the that from the campaigns -- target this group of 17 17 duration of that forbearance. people. These are the delinquent people. 18 18 A. Sure. They're clearly telling you, "I can't make 19 19 Q. The forbearance that you're talking about my payment -- or unwilling to make my payment." Is 20 20 is in order to get them out of delinquency so that it perhaps because of an income level? 21 21 they can be enrolled in IDR. Right? So when you're targeting that group of 22 22 people who's that delinquent, you're going to see a A. Correct. 23 23 stretch of forbearances that sit behind it -- one to Q. How long should that delinquency run? -- I 24 mean, I'm sorry, how long should that forbearance 24 cover the process itself, and two to cover that 25 25 delinquency. That's the only way to move it run?

Page 203 Page 202 1 TESSITORE 1 TESSITORE 2 2 A. -- I'm assuming there's two payments, at forward. 3 3 Q. So let me make sure that I get this right. least, in there -- right? We haven't quite bridged 4 4 the third one. So there's two. There's 60 days of A. Sure. 5 5 Q. If I'm a borrower and I'm 45 days forbearance in there. 6 6 delinquent, you or the servicer contact me. But with any IDR, one of the things that 7 7 At that point I'm 45 days delinquent. We we afford all of our borrowers is a 60-day doc 8 8 have a great conversation. I say, you know what, I review. And it happens, if I'm having a 9 9 think IDR is the right plan for me. And I submit an conversation with you, the day I'm having my 10 10 conversation with you that you agree to do this and application within 15 days. 11 11 A. Okav. this is what you said you want to do. 12 12 Q. So that's 45 -- it's 15 -- that's 60 days. So then that's a 60-day forbearance. 13 13 Are we looking at 60 days of forbearance So that 60 days doesn't cover the 14 14 delinquency. The notion is that gives you 60 days then? 15 15 to get your paperwork in and get the right paperwork A. No. 16 16 in, because we know how complicated that process is. So as I'm having that conversation with 17 17 you, the first thing I'm going to do when you agree Right? 18 18 that that's what you want to do and you say, yes, So I just gave you 60 days to cover the 45 19 19 sign me up, go ahead, send me that link, and I get days -- two payments. 20 2.0 you to that link -- the first thing I'm going to do Now I'm going to give you 60 days, which 21 21 is cover that delinquency, bring you current. would amount to two more payments in the future. 22 22 O. So you're covering a delinquency of the 45 Q. So is that a total of 120 days of 23 23 days? forbearance? 24 24 A. It depends on where your payment falls; A. Yep. So --25 25 O. With a forbearance? but theoretically speaking, yes, 120 days. Page 204 Page 205 1 1 **TESSITORE TESSITORE** 2 Q. What if I get my application in to you in 2 cover "X." And it tells you when it's going to end. 3 fewer than 60 days? 3 And when it's ending, I'm also telling you 4 4 A. Good for you. I will back it off. you're coming out of forbearance. 5 5 And so what that affords is the ability to Most of the time if the paperwork comes in 6 early, which is not always the case, there's usually 6 undo some of that capped interest. 7 7 a conversation -- because the other piece of that I mean, the interest won't cap until the 8 8 end of that forbearance period for the delinquency. is: Your forbearance also has to cover to the point 9 So that one's taking place, and then -- it's 9 of time when you're expected to make your next 10 complicated. 10 payment. 11 11 Then if you -- if you have them back to So while you might be speedy, you might 12 back, it happens at the end. 12 not be ready to make your payment in 10 days. 13 13 But giving you the 30 days -- because I So if I can shorten up that time period --14 14 have to gear up and get you the billing information absolutely, it's going to save you some money. But 15 15 at the end of the day, it depends on what your out the door and -- or put in your ACH again, set 16 16 balance is and what that interest accrual is. you up for automatic payments -- doesn't happen 17 17 Q. So when you say back it off or shorten the overnight. 1.8 18 time period, do you mean we wouldn't get the full 60 I need that ability to process what's 19 19 days on the back end of forbearance, we'd get taking place, and you need the ability as a borrower 20 20 something less than that? or the consumer to react to it. I can't expect you

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

A. You could.

But generally speaking -- now, remember,

part of the forbearance -- or if you don't know --

part of the forbearance is a followup in written

form to say, I'm putting you into a forbearance to

of forbearance on the back end.

to do it tomorrow.

Q. I hear that you're saying that, because

getting the forms in is tricky, it seems that for at

least some borrowers they will need the full 60 days

Page 206 Page 207 1 TESSITORE 1 **TESSITORE** 2 A. Yes. 2 to change to one of the income-driven repayment 3 3 Q. Is that always going to be the case? plans, IDR. 4 4 Q. When that last sentence references A. It's not the case -- it's probably more 5 5 that way for a borrower who's just stepping into --"probing questions," are those the types of 6 6 because we're talking about those that are questions that we've been talking about today? 7 A. That's what I would expect it to, yeah -delinquent -- right? -- so it's those who are just 8 8 coming into a repayment plan versus somebody who's exactly. 9 9 already in that repayment plan, is just doing the Q. Those are the types of questions that you 10 10 modeled for us a few minutes ago? renewal. 11 11 So yes to the delinquency group, no to the A. Yes. 12 12 other group. Q. Is that the conversation that FSA expects 13 13 Q. I see. I want to look at Observation 2. the servicers to be having with borrowers? 14 14 A. Okav. A. Yes. 15 Q. Still on the page marked 2 or ED000561. 15 Q. Moving on to the recommendation right 16 Can you please read out loud the text next 16 underneath that, could you read that out loud, 17 17 to Observation 2. 18 18 A. Sure. A. (Reading:) FSA recommends that Navient 19 19 provide borrowers with all options available so that (Reading:) Similar to the first 20 20 observation, many CSRs did not offer alternative or the borrower may make an informed decision based on 21 21 beneficial options when attempting to assist their current situation. The use of forbearance in 22 22 borrowers with bringing their account current or lieu of any other option can cause more undue 23 23 managing repayment. CSRs did not ask probing hardship to a borrower in the long term. 24 24 questions to determine if it would be more Q. Is this a recommendation that FSA made, 25 25 beneficial for the borrower to enter a deferment or taking into account Navient's response to this Page 208 Page 209 1 1 **TESSITORE TESSITORE** 2 2 If you know those end goals and you end up report? 3 A. I would like to think yes; but I honestly 3 in a forbearance, so be it. 4 4 If you know those end goals and you're can't remember, because I don't know what their 5 5 response was without reading it. trying to get to an IDR, you generally have to pass 6 Q. Is this recommendation in line with what through forbearance land to get there. 7 7 FSA had been communicating to servicers up until So to me, while they're still all 8 8 forbearances, the end result is really what's 2017? 9 9 driving how you got there and the use of that A. Right. So, again, it's -- if you ask the 10 10 forbearance and the limited use of that forbearance. probing questions, then you should get to the end 11 O. This idea that you've just expressed about 11 solution 12 the purpose of the forbearance and the limited use 12 And knowing that there is this crazy 13 of the forbearance, especially as it relates to 1.3 population who's used to getting forbearances every 14 14 using forbearance to get into IDR, is that a year at this time period, to introduce a new 15 15 conversation that FSA had had with the servicers forbearance without going through the means of 16 before? 16 getting an IDR probably at this point was not 17 A. Sure. It goes back to changing borrower 17 beneficial. 18 behavior. 18 But if that forbearance is a result of 19 So forbearances, while we can look at it 19 getting to an IDR, to me that's a different --20 and say it drives up your balance because you're 20 that's a different outcome. It's a different group 21 going to have capped interest -- certainly see that 21 22 -- but there's a purpose for it. And then there's a 22 So this would -- if you didn't ask those 23 real purpose. 23 probing questions and you put them in a forbearance, 24 And it doesn't mean don't use it because 24 it's probably not the best choice or at least it 25 it has bad outcomes. It's used -- used in the right 25 doesn't sound to be the best choice on the surface.

	Page 287
1	TESSITORE
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, SUSAN ASHE, a Registered Merit
5	Reporter and Notary Public, hereby certify that the
6	foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the
7	deposition of said witness, who was first duly sworn
8	by me on the date and place hereinbefore set forth.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
10	attorney nor counsel, nor related to or employed by
11	any of the parties to the action in which this
12	deposition was taken, and further that I am not a
13	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14	employed in this action, nor am I financially
15	interested in this case.
16	Dated this 6th day of
17	June, 2018.
18	>≈)
19	
20	
21	Susan Ashe, Notary Public
22	of the District of Columbia
23	My commission expires: May 31, 2022.
24	
25	